

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,324	06/30/2003	Steven M. Casey	020366-091600US	4044
20350	7590 08/08/2005		EXAMINER	
	D AND TOWNSEND A RCADERO CENTER	HAROLD, JEFFEREY F		
EIGHTH FLO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
' SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94111-3834		2646	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	-Applicant(s	5)			
		10/611,324	CASEY ET	AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jefferey F. Harold	2646				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the corresponder	nce address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however ly within the statutory mining will apply and will expire S e, cause the application to	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be consider IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1	of this communication. 33).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 J	luly 200 <u>5</u> .					
2a)⊠							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>4,5,7-12,14-23,27,29-32 and 34-37</u> is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) <u>4,5,7-12,14-23,27,29-32 and 34-36</u> is Claim(s) <u>37</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from considera s/are allowed.	tion.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been receints have been receints documents hau (PCT Rule 17.2)	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this Na a)).				
Attachmer	• •						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲 🛚	Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	on (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/611,324 Page 2

Art Unit: 2646

DETAILED ACTION

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Attorney Consultation

2. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Stephen Jewett on July 22, 2005.

Amendment to Claims

- 3. The application has been amended as follows:
 - (i) In claim 29, line 1 remove "28" and insert –27—
 - (ii) In claim 37, line 10 remove "stored"

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 4, 5, 7-12, 14-23, 27, 29-32, and 34-36 are allowed.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding **claim 4**, the prior art of record failed to disclose or fairly suggest a programmed server for both selectively passing electrical power from the communication channel to the storage device and selectively passing electrical power

Art Unit: 2646

from the storage device to the temperature controlling device. The electrical power is passed from the channel when the electrical power at the storage device falls below a threshold. The electrical power is selectively passed to the temperature controlling device in response to a predetermined temperature threshold within the network interface device.

Regarding claim 21, the prior art of record failed to disclose or fairly suggest temperature controlling means for controlling the temperature at the NID; storing means for storing electrical power at the NID; and programmed server means at the NID for selectively passing electrical power from the telecommunications line to the storing means when the electrical power at the storage device falls below a predetermined level, so that the storing means may be used to provide electrical power to the temperature controlling means, and for selectively passing electrical, power from the storing means to the temperature controlling means in response to a predetermined temperature within the NID.

Regarding claim 27, the prior art of record failed to disclose or fairly suggest powering the temperature controlling device with electrical power from a storage device at the NID; using current from telecommunications signals on the telecommunications line to store electrically power at the storage device; providing a programmed server at the NID; and using the server for: periodically checking the level of power stored at the storage device, and selectively passing current on the telecommunications line to the storage device when the level of power store is below a predetermined level; sensing

Application/Control Number: 10/611,324 Page 4

Art Unit: 2646

the temperature at the NID; and powering the temperature controlling device when the temperature at the NID reaches a predetermined level.

Regarding **claim 34**, the prior art of record failed to disclose or fairly suggest a storage device for storing electrical power that may be used for powering the temperature controlling device; and a programmed server for selectively passing electrical power from the telecommunications line to the storage device when the stored electrical power at the storage device is below a predetermined level, for selectively passing electrical power from the storage device to the temperature controlling device in response to a predetermined temperature at the active service device, and for sensing the electrical current level on the telecommunications line and providing an alarm signal if the current level is insufficient to power the active service device, the subscriber equipment, and the temperature controlling device.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cowan in view of Ninh (United States Patent 6,212,274).

Regarding claim 37, Cowan discloses a system for powering and controlling the temperature of a network interface device (NID) that is located at a subscriber premises. wherein the NID connects a telecommunications line from a telecommunications network to the subscriber premises, wherein the NID includes at least one active service device used in providing the telecommunications service to the subscriber, and wherein the subscriber premises includes subscriber equipment, the system comprising: a temperature controlling device (thermostat) that is powered from electrical power; an auxiliary power device (transformer 34) for powering the temperature controlling device (fan 24) independently of the electrical power from the telecommunications line, selectively passing power to the temperature controlling device in response to a predetermined temperature at the active service device, as disclosed at column 3, lines 6-41 and exhibited in figures 1 and 2; however, Cowan fails to disclose being powered from electrical power on the telecommunications line and for selectively passing power from the auxiliary power device when the power from the telecommunications line is insufficient to provide power. However, the examiner maintains that it was well known

in the art to provide being powered from electrical power on the telecommunications line and for selectively passing power from the auxiliary power device when the power from the telecommunications line is insufficient to provide power, as taught by Ninh.

In a similar field of endeavor Ninh discloses a line powered modem. In addition, Ninh discloses being powered from electrical power on the telecommunications line and for selectively passing power from the auxiliary power device (bus voltage 116) when the power from the telecommunications line is insufficient to provide power, as disclosed at column 3, line 41 through column 4, line 37.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Cowan by specifically providing being powered from electrical power on the telecommunications line and for selectively passing power from the auxiliary power device when the power from the telecommunications line is insufficient to provide power, as taught by Ninh, for the purpose of providing all the power needed to run a device from the power line and supplement the power from a secondary system.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Citation of Pertinent Art

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,324

Art Unit: 2646

Barrese et al. (United States Patent Application Publication 2002/0076038) discloses a telephone line power supply.

Karam (United States Patent 6,912,282) discloses enabling Cisco legacy power to support 802.3 AF standard power.

Cohen (United States Patent 6,665,404) discloses a method and device for power supply in telecommunication systems.

Sakuragi et al. (United States Patent 5,461,671) discloses a telephone line power utility circuit.

Tentler et al. (United States Patent 4,961,220) discloses power management in a microprocessor-controlled battery powered telephone device.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jefferey F. Harold whose telephone number is 571-272-7519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh H. Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jefferey F Harold Primary Examiner Art Unit 2646

August 4, 2005